## фаse3995305037937M3-MJ-Doenmenent264 Fffield Ф972672066 Раваде об 20

	1	responses and affirmative defenses contained in the answer filed by defendants to the original	
	2	complaint shall be responsive to the amended complaint.	
Gordon & Rees LLP 101 West Broadway Suite 1600 San Diego, CA 92101	3		
	4	Dated: May <u>3</u> , 2006	GORDON & REES LLP
	5		1/1/0
	6		Ву
	7	:	Richard P. Sybert Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant
	8		LIVEOPS, INC. and Counterdefendant WILLIAM TRENCHARD
	9		
	10	Dated: May 5, 2006	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
	11		٨
	12		By May
	13		Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant
	14		WENDELL BROWN
	15	Date I. Mary 2000	MUNICIPA MOLLING & OLGONILLA
	16	Dated: May, 2006	MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP
	17		Th.
	18		By Mark B. Helm
	19		Hojoon Hwang Attorneys for Defendant
	20		TELEO, INC.
	21		
	22	Pursuant to the Stipulation above, and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS SO	
	23	ORDERED.	
	24		
	25	Dated:	Marin Denice
	26		MARTIN J. JENKINS
	27		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	28		
	MARKETERINE		-2-
LOPS\1033315\267170.1			

STIPULATION AND IPROPOSEDI ORDER RE FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT